

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/996,663	11/29/2001	Daniel Raymond Cerone	8794	4856
27752 75	590 07/08/2003			
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161			EXAMINER	
			HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE	
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE CINCINNATI, OH 45224			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			3727	7
			DATE MAILED: 07/08/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/996,663	CERONE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	·
	Robin A. Hylton	3727	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mon. , a reply within the statutory minimum of period will apply and will expire SIX (6) statute, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	1		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u Disposition of Claims			s is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applic	eation		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Hurawii Itolii Consideration		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement		
Application Papers	and/or election requirement		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in a	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b)[disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required	in reply to this Office action.		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received	n Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation* See the attached detailed Office action for	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))).	
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	mestic priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applica	ition).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languag 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	8) 5) Notic	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· ·
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Offi	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 7	

Application/Control Number: 09/996,663

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claim 18 is provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 14 of copending Application No. 09/997,108. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because each disclose a container comprising a cover extending in two planes, a container body, a reclosable fastener, and a slider for actuating the reclosable fastener. The instant application further teaches a ramp for locating the slider. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a ramp for parking the slider.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/996,663

Art Unit: 3727

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hupp et al. (US 6,092,687). See figures 1 and 2.
- 5. Claims 1-5,19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Randall et al. (US 6,125,239).

See figure 3 wherein slider 36 engages the (unnumbered) ramp.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 6-17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Randall.

Application/Control Number: 09/996,663

Art Unit: 3727

Randall teaches the claimed invention except for a lip on the cover. Randall instead teaches the container as having a lip 32. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the lip on the cover, since it has been held that a mere reversal of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Various containers similar to that disclosed and/or claimed are cited for their disclosures.
- 9. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (703) 872-9302 or (703) 872-9303 for after final amendments. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.
- 10. It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is faxed before the reply time has expired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Facsimile" which merely asserts that the reply is being faxed on a given date. So faxed, before the period for reply has expired, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate follows:

The L	I hereby certify that this correspondence for Application Serial No e U.S. Patent and Trademark Office via fax number (703) 872 on the date	
	Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate	
	Signature	
	Date	

Application/Control Number: 09/996,663

Art Unit: 3727

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robin Hylton whose telephone number is (703) 308-1208. The examiner works a flexible schedule, but can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee Young, can be reached on (703) 308-2572.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g., copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Errica Bembry at (703) 306-4005.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

RAH June 28, 2003

Róbin A. Hylton () Primary Examiner

GAU 3727